NOW 2:221974 1

PMSS

Ronorable Jennings Randolph Chairman, Committee on Public Works United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In accordance with the provisions of the Public Buildings Act of 1959, as amended, there is submitted for consideration by the Committee a prospectus which proposes the acquisition of space, under a lease arrangement, in a facility to be constructed for use as a Federal arrangement. Institute and Managerial Training Center, Civil Service Commission, at Charlottesville, Virginia.

The submission of this prospectus has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 9384, dated October 4, 1943.

Sincerely,

Arthur F. Sampson Administrator cc: Official File - PRP

3A, A(2), Research Asst.-A, AL, AD, ALC, ALCL,

B, L, LB, Copy Code-4, PR-Shipp, PD-Friedlander,

PFB-Pearson, PB-Campbell, PBI-Pavel,

PFB-Meyers. PFP-Melnik, PRPP-Penland

PN-Nixon, PRM-Whitlock, PRL-Gaskins,

PM-Harvell, PMC-Sorg, PMR-Kdiwell

PRP: EDScjorallenberg/eds 11-15-74 x. 38065

PRO: EDScjorallenberg

Approved For Release 2000/08/10 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200090005-0

IDENTICAL LETTERS TO:

Honorable John A. Blatnik Chairman, Committee on Public Works House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Honorable James O. Eastland President pro tempore United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Honorable Carl Albert "we have submitted to the Committees on Speaker of the House of Representatives Public Works of the Congress for their Washington, D.C. 20515 consideration"... in first paragraph.)

COURTESY COPIES:

Mr. W. Todd Kite
General Manager
Construction Management Division
Real Estate and Buildings Department
United States Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260

Honorable Tom Steed Chairman, Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service and General Government Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515 ATTN: Mr. Aubrey A. Gunnels

Honorable Joseph M. Montoya Chairman, Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service and General Government Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 ATTN: Mr. Joe E. Gonzales

 provide approximately 66,480 square feet of joint use space consisting of an auditorium, a learning resource center, a multi-purpose recreational area, a health unit, a maintenance shop, and so on, none of which is available at the present leased facility.

2. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

a. PROJECT NEED:

The primary justification for this project is based on a current deficiency in available training space and an increase in demand for training space associated with the projected increases of both supergrade executives and mid-level managers and their training and development needs. The new facility will have a capacity of 225 participants, 140 in the FEI program and 85 in the MTC program.

Supergrade (GS-16 to 18) executives currently number 7,000 and are projected to increase at an annual rate of 1.5 percent in the last quarter of this century. In Fiscal Year 1973, with attrition and the increase in positions, there were 719 new supergrade executives. By Fiscal Year 1980 the new supergrade executives can be expected to number 860, 1,000 by 1990 and 1,225 by Fiscal Year 2000. The present FEI facility has a maximum capacity of 68 participants in each training session. There are a variety of training programs lasting from 2 1/2 days to seven weeks. The two major training programs are three weeks and seven weeks long and over a year can fully train only 544 participants. If the present capacity is not expanded, a deficiency of 56 percent in the training of new executives will result by Fiscal Year 2000. This does not include the backlog of supergrade executives who have never attended the FEI, expected to be 3,000 by Fiscal Year 1980. The new complex will have the capacity to train 140 participants in each training session. The two major executive training programs will be able to accommodate 1,120 participants annually. (See Chart #2) The continued increase in executives entering GS-16-18 positions necessitates a corresponding increase in the training capabilities of the FEI if the charge of Executive Order 11348, the Presidential authorization for this type of training is to be carried out. On signing this Executive Order (April 20, 1967), the President directed the Chairman of the Civil Service Commission to establish a center for advanced study for upper echelon career executives.

In addition to the new supergrade manager population, however, there are other categories which are expected to contribute substantially to the FEI student potential, including the backlog of supergrade managers who have never attended the FEI, state and local Government managers, FEI

Approved For Release 2000/08/10 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200090005-0

PROSPECTUS NUMBER: PVA-75011

alumni for whom "booster shot" training is desirable, and the increasing number of managers among women and minority groups.

A new MTC (GS-14-15 and high potential GS-13) is needed to fulfill two requirements. First, present provisions for interagency residential managerial training are insufficient. Managers currently number about 58,000 at Grades GS-13 through GS-15 and are projected to increase at an annual rate of 1.5 percent in the last quarter of this century. In Fiscal Year 1973, with attrition and the increase in positions, there were approximately 3,250 new managers and about 2,750 advancing managers. In Fiscal Year 1980, the new managers can be expected to number 3,590, 4,200 in 1990, and 4,850 in Fiscal Year 2000.

The present interagency residential facilities can accommodate less than 2,100 managers annually. Thus, the current capacity does not meet even half the needs generated by the present turnover, let alone have the capacity for the interagency residential training needs of incumbent managers. The proposed facility will have a capacity of 85 participants in each training session, and with approximately 17 training sessions per year 1,445 managers can be accommodated. Although the proposed facility in concert with the existing MTC's, will aid in meeting the demands for training, even more of a training capacity is necessary and will be sought before the proposed facility is ready. (See Chart #2).

Second, there is a need for a mid-level MTC near Washington, DC. The nearest interagency residential MTC is in Kings Point, New York, a distance of about 250 miles, yet almost 35 percent or about 21,000 of the mid-level managers are located in the Washington, DC area.

The collocation of the new mid-level MTC and the expanded FEI is proposed for the following reasons:

- 1) The program flexibility available in a facility of this size
- 2) The saving available through sharing of administrative services, physical facilities and some sharing of faculty and part-time professional resources
- 3) The operational economics of providing services (heat, electricity and so on) to one complex of buildings rather than two separate sites.

The location of the project is proposed on the grounds of the University of Virginia for the following reasons: First, the facility will be adjacent to a high-quality, prestigious, professional school campus composed of the Graduate School of Business, the Law School, and the Judge Advocate General's School, all of which complement Federal

programs to be conducted at the facility. Second, other library and faculty resources are readily available to enrich training programs at the facility, with complete access to research and general libraries of the University, and with well-established interchange arrangements for faculty utilization. Third, support services of the University are available to participants in training programs, including complete services of the School of Medicine and guidance of physical therapy and health experts. Fourth, cultural activities of the University are open to participants, including lectures, theater, music, and visual arts. Finally, the University is willing and able to finance and construct the facility and to lease it to the Government without profit, land costs, or property taxes in the annual rental rate.

b. DISCUSSION OF ALTERNATIVES:

1) Utilization of existing Government-owned buildings

Existing Government-owned buildings are the Federal Office Building (FOB); the Department of the Army Reserve Training Center Facility; and the Post Office and Courthouse Building. The requirements for the training facility are based in part on the type of educational atmosphere the Civil Service Commission wants to create. These unique requirements coupled with the size of the facility preclude consideration of modification and expansion of any of the existing Government-owned buildings.

.2) Acquisition of existing leased space

Existing rental space is not available in sufficient quantity in one location to permit expansion and collocation and fails to meet the unique requirements of the training facility.

3) Expansion of the existing leased facility

The Thomas Jefferson Inn constructed in 1952 is on a limited site of 8.3 acres, which could accommodate the proposed increase in square footage and facilities. However, the density of the complex would be the antithesis of the type of development the Civil Service Commission believes is necessary for the education program of the FEI-MTC. Any proposed addition would also require extensive modification to the existing structure in order to incorporate new technology and systems. The property is located in an area zoned B-1 (Business) an inappropriate location for the low scale land intensive educational type of complex required. For these reasons, expansion of the existing leased facility is not considered feasible.

4) Acquisition of space in a Government-owned building to be constructed

Because of the unique nature of the space, the necessary ties with the University of Virginia, the advantages of the lease agreement with the Approved For Release 2000/08/10: CIA-RDP86-01019R000200090005-0

University, and the proposed location of the facility on the grounds of the University, direct Federal construction is not the best alternative.

5) Acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by a private developer

Since the University will not include any taxes, profit, or land cost in the annual rental rate and since financing will be significantly less for a bond issue than for conventional financing, leasing of a building to be constructed by a private developer is not the best alternative.

6) Acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia

A present value analysis comparing direct Federal construction and leasing of a building to be constructed by a private developer with leasing of a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia has been prepared (Exhibit B). The analysis indicates that acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia is significantly less costly than either direct Federal construction or acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by a private developer.

| 3. ESTIMATED MAXIMUM COST: | Sq. Ft. | Annual Cost |
|--|-------------------|---|
| Estimated net annual rental Estimated value of lessors services Estimated value of services and utilities paid by the Government Estimated total annual cost | | \$1,856,000 554,000 0 \$2,410,000 |
| 4. <u>CURRENT HOUSING COST:</u> For agencies to be housed in the proposed lease | d space. | • |
| Net Annual Rental Value of Lessors Services Value of Services and Utilities paid by the Government Total Annual Cost | Sq. Ft. 40,115 | Annual Cost \$ 118,715 \$ 164,285 \$ 17,925 \$300,925 |

5. SPACE PLAN:

The relocation of the FEI to leased space on the grounds of the University of Virginia will permit the expansion of the FEI and collocation of a new mid-level MTC. The lease for the Thomas Jefferson Inn will be cancelled. Since the space being requested is of such a special nature, no other Federal agencies will be affected by this proposal. The plan for housing Federal activities in Charlottesville, (Exhibit A) and the current leasing situation (Exhibit B) are attached.

6. STATEMENT OF NEED:

It has been determined that (a) the need for space cannot be met by utilization of existing property owned by the Government, (b) suitable rental space is not available that meets the unique requirements of this training facility, and (c) it is unfeasible to modify and expand the existing facility.

| Submitted at Washington, DC on | NOV 2 2 1974 | • | , |
|---|------------------|------|---|
| Recommended: Compissioner, Public Buildin | | | |
| Approved: | • | | |
| Administrator of General Ser | vices Administra | tion | |

Approved For Release 2000/08/10 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200090005-0

CHART #1 SPACE REQUIREMENTS FEI-MTC*

JOINT USE SPACE

| Type of Space | | Square Footage |
|---|-----------|--|
| Auditorium (Capacity 400) Learning Resource Center Multi-Purpose Recreational Laundry | <u>;</u> | 6,000 8,750 20,150 1,200 6,770 |
| Building Operational Space Health Unit Kitchen Dining Maintenance/Shop Utility Plant | • | 200 9,910 2,500 11,000 |
| | Sub-total | 66,480 |

FEDERAL EXECUTIVE INSTITUTE

| Type of Space | | Square Footage |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Living/Study (146 units) | | 43,800 5,400 |
| Lounges Training Rooms | | 8,250 |
| Seminar Rooms Assembly Area | • | 10,800 2,850 |
| Faculty/Administrative Offices | | 8,350 |
| | Sub-total | 79.450 |

MANAGERIAL TRAINING CENTER

| | | · |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------|
| Type of Space | | Square Footage |
| Living/Study (94 units) | | 28,200 |
| Lounges | | 3,825 |
| Training Rooms | | 7,000 |
| Breakout Rooms | | 3,600 |
| Assembly Rooms | | 1,900 |
| Faculty/Administrative Offices | | 4,930 |
| Sub-total | | 49,455 |
| Total Space Requirements | | 195,385 |
| Additional Requirements | | |
| Outdoor Recreation | | 14,000 |
| Roads/Parking | 4 | 77,500 |

*Source: Federal Executive Institute and Managerial Training Center Program and Space Requirements, Office Services Division,

Approved For Release 2000/08/30: CIA-RDP86-01919-000200090005-0

PRESENT AND PROPOSED TRAINING CAPACITY

| * The number of bedrooms shown on this intended for course participants. The (Chart #1) and not included here, are | Source: Managerial and Executive Deve | 3/ No.= The number of Mid-Level Managers the to train. Currently defined as one-half a managers plus 1000 of the incumbent manage | 2/ The additional capacity wing programs by adding min Executives; and the elimin the FEI, estimated to be 3 | Notes: 1/ No.= The number of new people | Proposed Managerial Training Centers *(85 + 116 bedrooms: 3461 participants annually) | Present Managerial Training Centers (116 bedrooms: 2016 participants annually) | 36 training sessions with 40 participants 16 training sessions with 36 participants | MANAGERIAL TRAINING CENTERS | <pre>Proposed FEI capacity *(140 bedrooms: 1120 participants annually)</pre> | Present FEI capacity (68 bedrooms: 544 participants annually) | 4 three-week training programs and 4 seven-week training programs annually | FEI CAPACITY | PRESENT AND |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| chart for the proposed facility representations 15 bedrooms listed in the intended for use burisiting faculty | and Executive Development Needs in the Federal Service and Recommended the Needs, U.S. Civil Service Commission, October 1972. | CSC believes the number of ers who have n | additional capacity will be used for; obtaining a desirable "mix" in the programs by adding minorities and women; participation of State and Local utives; and the elimination of the backlog of Executives who have never a FEI, estimated to be 3000 by 1980. | ple at this level, the sum of replacements | 83% ennually) | 48% elly) | ants Fiscal Year 1980 ants (No.=4170) <u>3/</u> | PERCENT OF A | 130% <u>2</u> / | 63% | Fiscal Year | PERCENT OF M | PRESENT AND PROPOSED TRAINING CAPACITY |
| ity represents only those in the space requirements faculty and guest lecturers. | nd Recommended Actions | it should have the capability new managers and advancing never received training. | sirable "mix" in the train- on of State and Local ives who have never attended | ents and new positions | 77% 73% | 45% 42% | 3/ (No.=4475) (No.=4750) | MID-LEVEL MANAGERS TRAINED | 112% 91% | 54% 44% | 1990 2000 1/ (No.=1000) (No.=1225) | ENT OF NEW SUPERGRADE EXECUTIVES TRAINED | |

EXHIBIT A CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

COMPREHENSIVE HOUSING PLAN (As of July 24, 1974)

| | | | | | | July 24, 1974 | , | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | · - | Present | Housing. | (Occuptable | Sa. Ft.) | - | Proposed | Housing (Occur | datt. a. m | |
| Department or Agency | | | retson- | Govt. | | | Person- | *Retained | table Sq. Ft | |
| Department of Agency | | Total | nel | 0-med | Leased | Total | nel | G/O | **Retained Leased | Proposed |
| U.S. Courts | | 4,166 | 5 | 4,166 | | | | | | Project |
| U.S. Atty/Mar. | | 252 | | 252 | - | 4,166 | 5 | 4,166 | - | |
| Agriculture | | 4,990 | 29 | | | 252 | - | 252 | _ | - |
| Civil Service Commissi | on . | 40,115 | 26 | 2,915 | 2,075 | 4,990 | 29 | 2,915 | 2,705 | • |
| Congressional | | 511 | 20 | | 40,115 | 195.385 | 89 | -,, | 2,703 | |
| Defense | | 79,489 | | 511 | · | 511 | 2 | 511 | • | 195,38 |
| General Services Admin | introtion. | 4,670 | 580 | 70,705 | 8,784 | 79,489 | 580 . | 70,705 | 0.304 | |
| Realth, Education, & Wo | alfore | | . 3 | 4,670 | - | 4,670 | 3 | 4,670 | 8,784 | • |
| Interior | CYTHIE | 8,598 | 19 | 3,905 | 4,693 | 8,598 | 19 | 3,905 | - | |
| Justice | | 1,635 | ′ 11 | - | 1,635 | 1,635 | ĩi | 2,303 | 4,693 | |
| Sclective Service Syste | | 705 | 5 | - | 705 | 705 | - 3 | - | 1,635 | • |
| Treasury | | 384 | 1 | - | 384 | 384 | í | • | 705 | - |
| | _ | 2,209 | <u> 15</u> | 360 | 1,849 | 2,209 | 15 | 360 | 384 _1,849 | • |
| General Purpose Space | 14 | 7,724 | 696 | 87,484 | 60,240 | | | | 1,049 | |
| Special Purpose and/or | | | | • | | 302,994 | 759 | 87,484 | 20,125 | 195,389 |
| -Protect rothose sud/or | rocation 1 | 3,750 | 10 | | 13,750 | 13 750 | _10 | _ | 13 30- | |
| Assigned Space | 16 | 1,474 | 706 | 97 /0/ | 72 000 | | | | <u>13,750</u> | |
| - | | -, | 700 | 87,484 | 73,990 | 316,744 | 769 | 87,484 | 33,875 | 195,385 |
| AN AS OF PROPOSED PR | atruta a | | | | | | | | • | |
| AS OF PROPOSED PR | (1) E(1: 2d | uare Feet | <u>.</u> | Personnel | | • | *Par | ained G/O Buil | | |
| Agency Space | 170,2 | 75 | | 89 | | Identity | | attied C/O Bdf: | dings | |
| • | | | | 69 | | . General | Purpose: | | | Sq. Ft. |
| Service Areas: | 11,3 | 10 | | | | Pederal 1 | Building | • | | 78,590 |
| Realth Unit | 200 | | | | | Post Off: | ice & Courti | iouse | | |
| Laundry - 1 | 200 | | | | | | | Total | | 8,894 |
| Kitchen/Dining 9 | 910 | | | •• | | | | | : | 87,484 |
| Other | 1/ | | | | | | | | | |
| Custodiai . | 12 00 | | | | • | • | <u>'</u> | *Retained Lea | sed | |
| • | 13,80 | ru | | | | Ceneral F | urpose: | | | |
| Total Assigned Space | | 105 | 205 | •• | | Agricultu | re: | | | Sq. Ft. |
| | | #27. | ,385 | 89 | | APICIS | | | | |
| Leased to be Replace | d 40.11 | 4 | | | | FHA | | | | 1,300 |
| Agency Expansion | | | | | | Defense: | | | | 775 |
| ,, | 155,27 | U | | | | Atriy | | | | |
| Total Occupiable Square | Voor | | | | | DCA | | | | 5,384 |
| | 1000 | 195 | , 385 | 89 | | nc. s | | | • | 493 |
| | Bothern | | | | | DOI: Rec | rud # 1 | | | 342 |
| | ROUNDE | D 196, | ,000 | | | BEW: | rarcing | | | 2,565 |
| | | | | | | 5 S/ | | | | |
| I/SEE CHART No. 1 (CRACE | DECISE DELECTION | | | | | Interior: | | | | 4,693 |
| 1/SEE CHART No. 1 (SPACE | KIQUIREMENT, | S FEI-MTC | 3) | | | | ool C | | | |
| : | | | | | | B | cal Survey | | | 1,018 |
| | | | | | | Justice: | of Mines | | | 62.7 |
| , | | | | | | FS. | | | | |
| | | ٧. | | • | | | Cameline | | • | 705 |
| • | | | | | | Treasury: | Service Sys | e tem | | 384 |
| | | • | | | | IRS | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Total | | 1,849 |
| • | • | | | | | | | | • | 20,125 |
| | | | | | | Special Po | rpose and/c | r Location: | | |
| | | | | | | Converce SBA | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | i | | | Defense | | | | 3,850 |
| | | | • | | | Army | | | | ., |
| | | | | • | | EPA | | | | 3,500 |
| | | | | | | GSA | | | | 5,800 |
| • | - | | | | | Aav | | | | 500 |
| | . • | | | | | | | Total | | 13,750 |
| • | | | | | | | | | | -0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |

EXHIBIT B
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE INSTITUTE AND
MANAGERIAL TRAINING CENTER
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

SUPPORT DATA

I. PRESENT VALUE COST SUMMARIES FOR ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF ACQUISITION: (in thousands of dollars)

| Item | 30 Years 7% |
|----------------------------------|--|
| PURCHASE:* | |
| Improvements | \$17,775 |
| Site, design, etc | 2,696 |
| Repair and Improvement | 1,351 |
| Property taxes | 7,107 |
| Subtotal | \$28,929 |
| Less residual value | -1,772 |
| Total | \$27,157 |
| | Company of the compan |
| LEASE (Private Developer):* | |
| Total annual payments** | \$29,838 |
| | Alex Williams of the company of the |
| LEASE (University of Virginia):* | |
| Total annual payments** | \$17,159 |
| | |
| PURCHASE CONTRACT* | |
| Annual payments** | \$17,640 |
| Repair and Improvement | 1,351 |
| Property taxes | 7,107 |
| Subtotal | \$26,098 |
| Less residual value | $\frac{-1,772}{$24,326}$ |
| | |

^{*}Operation and maintenance costs are borne by the Government and are assumed to be identical for all four acquisition methods. Therefore, they are omitted in this comparison. Imputed insurance premiums are estimated to be negligible relative to other costs and therefore omitted.

^{**}Analysis includes the application of a deflator to each annual payment thereby showing payments in constant dollars based on the initial annual nayment CIA-RDP86-01019R0002000900050 payment as discounted at / percent.

Approved For Release 2000/08/10 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200090005-0

| II. LEASED DATA AS OF JULY 24, | | | | | | RLOTTESVILLE, VI | LEGINIA - |
|--|------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| LEASED LOCATION & AGENCY | TYPE OF SPACE | SQ. FT. | ANNUAL COST | QUALITY RATING | LEASE EXPIRES | CANCELLATION | DISPOSITIO |
| Current Housing to Proposed Pro | ject: | | | | | | |
| Thomas Jefferson Inn Route 29 North Civil Service Commission Federal Executive | Special | 40,115 | \$300,925 | 68 | 9-30-80 | 90 days after 9-30-78 | Cancel |
| Institute SUBTOTAL | | 40,115 | \$300,925 | | | | |
| Current Housing to Remain: | | | | | | | |
| Allied Realty Building 1710 Allied Street DOD | | • | \$ 1,575 | 87 | 6-27-76 | 30 days | Retain |
| Defense Contract Audit Agency | Office | 493 | | | | | |
| Citizens Commonwealth 300 Preston Ave. Dept. HEW SSA Bureau Hearing Appeal | Office | 1,488 | 7,291 | 94 | 2-28-77 | 60 days after 3- 1-75 | Retain |
| Earhart Building 2007 Earhart Ave. DCAS | Office | 342 | 1,625 | 88 | 6-30-74 | 30 days | Retain |
| Metropolitan Building 1936 Arlington Blvd. Dept. of Interior Geological Survey Bureau of Mines | Office Office | 1,018 617 | 8,175 | 94 | 7-30-76 | 90 days | Retain |
| ant & Facilities Building ed Cross Training Center Midmont Lane | | | 6,775 | 94 | 1-31-75 | 60 days | Retain |
| Dept. of Commerce SBA | Storage | 3,850 | | | • | | • |
| 401 South Street Army Foreign Ser. Tech. Center | Storage | 3,500 | 4,380 | 90 | 9-10-79 | Firm 30 days after 9-10-77 | Retain |
| GSA-PBS | Storage | 600 | | * | | 3-10-11 | |
| 1140 River Road EPA | Special | 5,800 | 17,700 | 90 | 6-14-75 | 60 days after 6-14-75 | Retain |
| 1928 Arlington Blvd. DOD | | | 26,920 | 93 | 12-31-75 | Ext. from 1-1-73 | Retain |
| Army Corps of Engineers | Office | 5,384 | | | | | |
| 1932 Arlington Blvd. DOD | | | 28,645 | 80 | 5-31-77 | 90 days | Retain. |
| DOD Recruiting Dept. of Justice | Office | 2,565 | | | | | |
| FBI Sclective Service System | Office Office | 705 384 | | | | | |
| Dept. of Agriculture FHA Dept. of Agriculture | Office | 775 | | | | | • |
| Dept. of Agriculture APHIS | Office | 1,300 | | | | | |
| 2138-40 Barracks Road Dept. of HEW | | | 20,216 | 96 | | | Retain |
| SSA | Office | 3,205 | | | 10-31-75 | Extension from 11-1-72 | |
| √ Dept. of Treasury IRS | Office | 1,849 | | | 11-30-75 | 90 days | |

TOTAL

73,990

\$424,227



EXHIBIT B (cont'd)

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA PROSPECTUS NUMBER: PVA-75011

IV. PLANNING COORDINATION

As required by the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-577) and Executive Order 11512, the development of this project will be coordinated with the Federal, State and local agencies. There are no local or regional plans for the site since it is on the grounds of the University of Virginia and the University does its own planning. The project is compatible with the University's Master Plan of March 1973, which designates this site for future academic and related insititutes and open space. With the increase in employment and students, there will be a minor positive impact on the local community's development and economy. The relocation and expansion of the FEI and the collocation of the mid-level Training Center will facilitate the training of Federal executives, thereby increasing the capabilities of mid and senior level management in the Federal Government. The relocation would also free a parcel of land currently under-utilized as a low density educational facility for use in accordance with its business zoning.

V. PARKING

The zoning regulations for the City of Charlottesville for University type buildings require one off-street parking space for each 8 seats in the main auditorium or three parking spaces for each classroom, whichever is greater. This would equate to approximately 84 spaces.

The University of Virginia, for the Duke-Copeley Hill area which is adjacent to the proposed site of the FEI-MIC, provides parking for 60 percent of the students, 80 percent of the staff, and one visitor space for each 30 faculty/staff. This would equate to approximately 210 spaces; however, because of the number of participants coming from the Washington, DC area, about 250 spaces will be provided.

The 250 parking spaces planned for the project would be utilized as follows:

| Government-owned and visiting faculty Visitors and service vehicles | 0 |
|--|-----|
| July sees the sees of the sees | CA |
| Students | 168 |
| | 250 |

University, and the proposed location of the facility on the grounds of the University, direct Federal construction is not the best alternative.

5) Acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by a private developer

Since the University will not include any taxes, profit, or land cost in the annual rental rate and since financing will be significantly less for a bond issue than for conventional financing, leasing of a building to be constructed by a private developer is not the best alternative.

6) Acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia

A present value analysis comparing direct Federal construction and leasing of a building to be constructed by a private developer with leasing of a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia has been prepared (Exhibit B). The analysis indicates that acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by the University of Virginia is significantly less costly than either direct Federal construction or acquisition of leased space in a building to be constructed by a private developer.

| 3. ESTIMATED MAXIMUM COST: | Sq. Ft. | Annual Cost |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Estimated net annual rental Estimated value of lessors services Estimated value of services and utilities paid by the Government. | 196,000 | \$1,856,000 554,000 |
| Estimated total annual cost | | \$2,410,000 |
| 4. CURRENT HOUSING COST: | | • |
| For agencies to be housed in the proposed leased | space. | |
| Net Annual Rental Value of Lessors Services Value of Services and Utilities paid by the Government Total Annual Cost | Sq. Ft. 40,115 | Annual Cost \$ 118,715 \$ 164,285 \$ 17,925 \$300,925 |